

REMARKS

Entry of the amendments to the specification, claims and abstract before examination of the application is respectfully requested.

If there are any questions regarding this Preliminary Amendment or this application in general, a telephone call to the undersigned would be appreciated since this should expedite the prosecution of the application for all concerned.

If necessary to effect a timely response, this paper should be considered as a petition for an Extension of Time sufficient to effect a timely response, and please charge any deficiency in fees or credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 05-1323, Docket #. 095309.55249US.

Respectfully submitted,

August 20, 2004



Donald D. Evenson
Registration No. 26,160
Stephen W. Palan
Registration No. 43,420

CROWELL & MORING LLP
Intellectual Property Group
P.O. Box 14300
Washington, DC 20044-4300
Telephone No.: (202) 624-2500
Facsimile No.: (202) 628-8844

DDE:SP:vlc
#333629v1

- 1 -

Method and arrangement for testing at least one antennaBACKGROUND AND SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

[0001] The invention relates to a method and an arrangement for testing at least one antenna, in particular a multiple antenna system in a vehicle.

[0002] As the number of antennas on vehicles increases, it is becoming necessary to carry out a functional test of the antenna system. Functional tests such as these are normally carried out in the removed state. A functional test with the antenna in the installed state has ~~until now~~ been particularly complex and required a particularly large amount of effort. For example, DE 196 18 333 A1 describes a circuit arrangement for functional testing of mobile broadcast radio receiving systems in the installed state. ~~This has the~~ One disadvantage ~~that of~~ this circuit arrangement is that it has a calibrated signal generator ~~in order~~ to produce a test signal, which signal generator transmits a discrete test signal exclusively at the frequency to which the receiver is tuned. Furthermore, the circuit arrangement ~~described there~~ is not suitable for diagnosis ~~taking account of~~ which accounts for external influences, such as snow or ice.

[0003] ~~Furthermore, a~~ A system for testing a signal transmitter/receiver, for example for a receiving antenna, is ~~known from~~ disclosed in US 6 005 891. In this case, a pseudo-random noise signal source is used as the test signal source. A complex circuit is used in the system ~~in order~~ to process a signal which has been reflected from a damaged receiving antenna and to compare this with the original test signal. A correlation receiver, among other items, is required for this purpose.

- 2 -

However, this system is highly costly to produce, as a result of the use of the pseudo-random noise signal source, which produces a high-speed digital signal, as well as the correlation receiver. Furthermore, it is always necessary to know the level of the output signal from the pseudo-random noise signal source.

[0004] The invention is thus based on ~~the object of specifying~~ a method for testing at least one antenna in a vehicle, in which diagnosis can be carried out at all the frequencies in one band, for example a radio, TV, mobile radio or ISM band, at low costs and in a particularly simple manner. Furthermore, ~~another aim is to specify~~ the invention provides a particularly simple arrangement for testing the antenna in the installed state. ~~A further aim is that it should also no longer be necessary to know~~ In the invention knowing the level of the test signal source is not necessary, thus making it possible to use a low-cost test signal source.

~~According to the invention, the object is achieved by the features of claim 1 and claim 6. The dependent claims cover advantageous design details and variants.~~

[0005] The advantages which are achieved by the invention are, in particular, that a noise signal from an uncalibrated noise source is injected into the antenna as a test signal by means of a controllable coupling module. If there is only a single antenna, the noise signal which is being reflected at the antenna input is evaluated as the received signal in a test module. For this purpose, the received signal is advantageously used to determine an instantaneous transmission coefficient, which represents the relevant antenna, at a predetermined frequency or at two or more

- 3 -

frequencies in a band, ~~and this~~ The instantaneous transmission coefficient is compared with a reference transmission coefficient, which represents the transmission behavior of the noise source via the coupling module to the antenna and back to the receiver. A serviceable antenna produces minimal reflection at the antenna.

[0006] In the case of a multiple antenna system comprising two or more antennas, the noise signal transmitted between the antennas is analyzed and assessed alternatively or in addition to the noise signal which has been reflected at the respective antenna inputs. For this purpose, the noise signal is injected into the antenna or antennas from the uncalibrated noise source or test signal source by means of a coupling circuit, ~~and~~ is received by an adjacent antenna, and is analyzed by means of a transmission matrix in the test module, in particular in the receiver, for example an audio or video tuner. Such functional monitoring or diagnosis ~~by means of~~ using a simple uncalibrated noise source, which in the simplest case is formed by a source in the receiver itself, allows a particularly low-cost and simple arrangement. In particular, the production cost is particularly low. As a result of the use of already existing components in the receiver, the arrangement generally requires little space and, as a result of this and due to the integration of the test module, for example, in a vehicle, there is no need for complex test transmitters at the end of the production line or for servicing when the diagnosis or test method is used in the vehicle field.

- 4 -

[0007] Furthermore, the use of a noise signal as the test signal allows a diagnosis covering all the frequency bands to be carried out on the antenna or antennas. In particular, a test such as this based on a noise signal also allows evaluation relating to external influences on the serviceability of the antenna or antennas, such as snow or other external interference signals, which lead to incorrect diagnosis in the case of the conventional systems based on the prior art. In particular, this ensures that the antenna or antennas is or are tested and monitored in the installed state as well, and thus, for example, while a vehicle is being driven.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0008] Preferred exemplary embodiments of the invention will be explained in more detail in the following text in conjunction with the drawing, in which:

[0009] Figure 1 shows, schematically, a circuit arrangement for testing the serviceability of a multiple antenna system,

[0010] Figure 2 shows, schematically, the signal waveform of a test signal in the multiple antenna system,

[0011] Figures 3 to 5 show, schematically alternative embodiments of the circuit arrangement as shown in Figure 1, with switchable transmission paths for an AM band and an FM band, and an FM band with diversity,

[0012] Figure 6 shows, schematically, a flowchart of the test method ~~algorithm~~, and

- 5 -

[0013] Figures 7 to 14 show, schematically, various circuit arrangements for testing the serviceability of an individual antenna.

[0014] Mutually corresponding parts are provided with the same reference symbols in all of the figures.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

[0015] Figure 1 shows a circuit arrangement 1 for testing an antenna system 4, which comprises two or more antennas 2, on a vehicle ~~which is not illustrated in any more detail~~. The antenna system 4 is ~~in this case in particular~~ integrated in a glass pane 6, for example the rear windshield, a side window, or the rear window and/or side window or windows of the vehicle. The circuit arrangement 1 has a receiver module 8 and a coupling module 10, which is arranged between the antennas 2 and the receiver module 8. The antenna or coupling module 10 is used for injection of a noise signal S into the respective antenna 2 and into the receiver module 8, which is also referred to as a tuner. The receiver module 8 also has a test module 12 for determination of an instantaneous transmission coefficient (\ddot{U}_{vi}) on the basis of ~~forming~~ the ratio between the noise signal component S' that is injected via the antennas, and the noise signal component S1 which is transmitted directly from the noise source to the receiver. In order to determine the serviceability of the respective antenna 2, the test module 12 has a transmission matrix 14 in which a reference transmission coefficient (\ddot{U}_{vinorm}) (also referred to as (\ddot{U}_{vn-m})) is stored for the respective antenna 2, describing the transmission response and/or transmission

- 6 -

path. The serviceability of the antenna 2 is deduced from a comparison of the instantaneous transmission coefficient (\ddot{U}_{vi}) with the reference transmission coefficient (\ddot{U}_{vinorm}). The coupling module 10, which is also referred to as an antenna module, has, as a diagnosis circuit 16, an uncalibrated noise source 18 and an RF switch 20 which can be driven. The noise source 18 in this case covers all the frequency bands which can be detected in the receiver module 8.

[0016] In one advantageous embodiment, the noise source 18 may be in the form of a bipolar transistor in an amplifier circuit. With the diagnosis or test method proposed here, there is no need for a calibrated noise source. This makes it possible to avoid the complex determination of the instantaneous frequency response of the noise source 18, which is dependent on components and temperature. The RF switch 20 which can be driven is, for example, in the form of switching diodes. The number of switching diodes corresponds to the number of antennas 2 which are used as transmitting antennas 2(n) in the diagnosis mode. The number of transmitting antennas 2(n) used governs the evaluation confidence of the diagnosis.

[0017] The diagnosis circuit does not involve expensive production costs but can, for example, be accommodated on the board surface of the antenna amplifier module, by changing its layout. The data can be evaluated in the tuner or receiver 8 by an addition to the software, and there is no need for additional hardware. Depending on the nature and embodiment of the circuit arrangement 1, the receiver module 8 and the coupling module 10 may be formed by a common module. Furthermore, the individual modules may be in the form of software and/or

- 7 -

hardware, depending on the function. In addition, the arrangement and combination of the individual modules may vary, depending on the requirement.

[0018] The switching diodes are driven by means of a digital counter 21. If the bit rate is low, a control signal DI transmits two voltage states from the receiver module 8 to the digital counter 21. The control signal DI can be transmitted along an already existing RF cable in the same way as is already done for driving a given FM diversity circuit. The counter 21 is switched onwards by one position on each positive edge of the control signal DI, so that all of the antenna branches A, B, ..., Z are switched through successively. Once the final antenna branch Z has been switched through and the diagnosis is produced, the next positive edge causes the noise source 18 to be switched off or, alternatively, to be switched to a state in which no antenna branch A to Z is switched through. The next positive edge once again switches the first antenna branch A through in a new diagnosis cycle.

[0019] At least two rear windshield antennas 2 are successively connected as transmitting antennas 2(n) via the RF switch 20. In an antenna system 4 having at least two antennas 2, the serviceability of the antennas 2 is preferably measured by measurement of the near field transmission between the antennas 2. The reference transmission coefficients \ddot{U}_{vinorm} or factors for all the possible couplings between the antennas 2 form the transmission matrix 14. The instantaneous transmission coefficients \ddot{U}_{vi} are determined analogously to this on the basis of the transmission matrix 14, and are compared with the reference transmission coefficients \ddot{U}_{vinorm} . In

- 8 -

this case, the antennas 2 are used both as transmitting antennas and as receiving antennas.

[0020] The transmission path is determined by transmission of the noise signal S via one of the antennas 2 as a transmitting antenna n and by reception of the received signal S', which results from this, at one of the other antennas 2 as a receiving antenna m, and by reflection of the noise signal S at the antenna input of the relevant transmitting antenna n.

[0021] The evaluation on the basis of the transmission matrix 14 ~~furthermore~~ expediently allows identification of adverse affects, such as wetness, snow, external interference signals, which can affect two or more antennas 2. The test or diagnosis is carried out such that the transmission of the noise signal S from the respectively selected transmitting antenna 2(n) to the other adjacent rear windshield antennas 2, which form the receiving antennas 2(m), is tested in the receiver module 8, in particular for all frequency bands. Each antenna 2 is thus tested for its transmission behavior \ddot{U}_v in a number of frequency bands. The FM band, the highest TV band and the AM band are expediently analyzed, so that the operation of the antennas 2 can be tested and determined reliably and easily on the basis of the transmission behavior \ddot{U}_v . Since the transmission is further tested in different combinations of transmitting antennas n and receiving antennas m, it is possible to exclude external fault sources.

[0022] During operation of the circuit arrangement 1, the RF switch 20 does not allow any noise signal S on the antenna path 22 during normal antenna operation,

- 9 -

when it is in the position 0. In the diagnosis or test mode, the RF switch 20 is switched successively to the positions 1 and 2, with the noise signal S being injected successively in to the antenna path 22 via coupling circuits 24, for example by means of T junctions or capacitively. There, the noise signal S is split into the noise signal S1, which is passed directly from the noise source 18 to the tuner 8, and the noise signal S2, which migrates to the relevant antenna 2 and is emitted at the antenna 2. Statements relating to the serviceability of the relevant antennas 2 can be made from the comparison of the received signal S2, which is received from the receiving antenna 2(m), with the noise signal S1, which is supplied directly for level evaluation. Furthermore, depending on the extent of the analysis, amplifier and filter circuits 26 which affect the transmission path and their influence on the transmission can be taken into account. Since two or more or all of the antennas 2 are used as transmitting antennas n, and all of the antennas 2 are used as receiving antennas m, the entire system can be represented by a transmission matrix 14 with a maximum size of $n \times m$.

[0023] The determination of the transmission matrix 14 for a level evaluation and the measurement tolerance to be expected will be described in the following text with reference to Figure 2. This is based on the assumption, by way of example, of a multiple antenna system 4 with three antennas 2. However, the principle also applies to other systems 4 which have at least two antennas 2.

[0024] For level evaluation ~~by means of~~ using the transmission matrix 14, the signal levels Si1, Si2 and Si3 are respectively detected at the ports I, II and III for

- 10 -

level evaluation when two or more antennas i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) are used as the transmitting antenna n . In the case of an antenna system 4 which is largely completely serviceable, that is to say it is optimally matched, minimal reflection occurs at the antenna inputs 2. The noise signal S whose level is $Pr(f)$ is injected successively into each of the signal paths 22 of the antennas 2. Some of the noise power is emitted via the respectively connected antenna 2, while a further portion is passed via the respective filter amplifier circuit 26 in the path 22 directly to the receiver module 8. The level $Pr(f)$ of the noise source 18 need not be known in advance before measurement, since it can be determined from the measurement evaluation by means of the test module 12 in the receiver module 8. The measurement results in the diagnosis process are thus not dependent on the tolerance of the noise source 18.

[0025] The assumed transmission coefficient or reference transmission coefficient $\ddot{U}_{vinorm}(f)$, the filter amplifier circuit 26 with the narrowest tolerance δv_i and the actual transmission coefficient on the basis of

$$\ddot{U}_{vi}(f) \text{ where } \ddot{U}_{vi}(f) = \ddot{U}_{vinorm}(f) \times (1 + \delta v_i(f)) \quad [1]$$

are used as the basis for determination of the instantaneous noise power P_r .

[0026] The signal level S_{11} , as detected in the level evaluation, for the first antenna 2 at the port I in accordance with

[0027]

$$S_{11}(f) = (P_r(f)/2) \times \ddot{U}_{v1}(f) = (P_r(f)/2) \times \ddot{U}_{v1norm}(f) \times (1+\delta_{v1}(f)) \quad [2]$$

is used as the basis for determination of the noise level $P_r(f)$ for a given measurement tolerance δ_v :

$$P_r(f) = 2 S_{11}(f) / ((\ddot{U}_{v1norm}(f)) \times (1+\delta_{v1}(f))) \quad [3]$$

[0028] The Accordingly, the noise characteristics of the noise source 18 may ~~thus~~ differ individually for each component, may be dependent on the temperature, and need not be known in advance. This allows simple low-cost noise sources 18 to be produced. Once the noise level $P_r(f)$ has been determined, the transmission coefficients $\ddot{U}_{v2}(f)$ and $\ddot{U}_{v3}(f)$ of the other filter amplifier circuits 26 can be determined from the respective signal levels S_{22} and S_{33} .

$$\ddot{U}_{v2}(f) = 2 S_{22}(f) / P_r(f); \ddot{U}_{v3}(f) = 2 S_{33}(f) / P_r(f) \quad [4]$$

[0029] By comparison with the reference transmission coefficients $\ddot{U}_{v2norm}(f)$ and $\ddot{U}_{v3norm}(f)$ or nominal values for the respective frequency bands, the serviceability of the respective filter amplifier circuit 26 can easily be deduced from these coefficients $\ddot{U}_{v2}(f)$ and $\ddot{U}_{v3}(f)$. The tolerance δ_v for the noise power P_r is also obtained from these

- 12 -

coefficients $\ddot{U}_{v2}(f)$ and $\ddot{U}_{v3}(f)$. The transmission coefficients $\ddot{U}_{a12}(f)$ and $\ddot{U}_{a13}(f)$ between the antennas 2 make it possible to calibrate out the tolerances in the transmission path 28 on the basis that:

$$\ddot{U}_{a12}(f) = S_{12}(f) / S_{22}(f); \ddot{U}_{a13}(f) = S_{13}(f) / S_{33}(f) \quad [5]$$

[0030] The indication tolerance in the receiver 8 is not included in this analysis, since the assessment of the antenna system 4 always relates to its sensitivity. ~~This means that~~ Accordingly, if the sensitivity of the receiver 8 is high, the antenna system 4 may have correspondingly poorer transmission characteristics. The required quality of the antenna system 4 is thus always assessed as a function of the available tuner sensitivity ~~by means of~~ using the diagnosis system or the circuit arrangement 1, so that entire systems 1 (receiver 8 and antenna system 4) with the same quality are always assessed to be the same.

[0031] The transmission coefficients \ddot{U}_a not only provide information about the serviceability of the antennas 2, but also about the extent to which the transmission path 28 between the antennas 2 is subject to interference. If, for example, the antennas 2 are covered with snow, then all of the transmission coefficients $\ddot{U}_{vi}(f)$ are interfered with to the same extent, and the diagnosis algorithm identifies that it is not an antenna 2 which is faulty, but that all the transmission paths 28 are affected. The state of the antennas 2, for example the fact that the rear windshield 6 is

- 13 -

covered with a foreign body, is deduced as a function of the magnitude of the instantaneous determined transmission coefficients $\ddot{U}_{vi}(f)$.

[0032] Figure 3 shows an alternative embodiment of the circuit arrangement 1, in which, in order to test the various frequency bands of the receiver module 8, the coupling module 16 is intended to inject the noise signal S into the relevant transmission branch 30 or 32 for the FM band or AM band, respectively, ~~by means of~~ using the positions 1 and 2 of the RF switch 20. The circuit arrangement 1 in this case embodiment has a two-antenna system 4 for the AM and FM bands. Figure 4 shows a further embodiment of the circuit arrangement 1 for a five-antenna system 4 for the AM band and the FM band, with quadruple diversity. The number of positions of the RF switch 20 must be increased by the appropriate number of antennas in order to test the FM band with diversity. The test procedure is carried out as already described above. ~~This means that~~ Accordingly, the noise signal S from the noise source 18 is injected separately into each antenna 2 by means of the RF switch 20. Relevant transmission coefficients $\ddot{U}_{vi}(f)$ are determined for all the possible combinations of the antennas 2 on the basis of the respective received signal S', which is received by means of one of the adjacent antennas 2, and the transmitted noise signal S, and is compared with the reference transmission coefficients $\ddot{U}_{vinorm}(f)$ for the transmission matrix 14.

[0033] The method described above for testing the serviceability of the antenna 2 is not dependent on the antenna type. Figure 5 shows one embodiment for a further diagnosis circuit for a five-antenna system 4, for a so-called high-end version for

- 14 -

AM, FM and TV diversity. As is illustrated in Figure 5, it is also, by way of example, possible to investigate an FZV antenna 36 (FZV = radio central locking) on the basis of the method described above, if the associated FZV receiver 38 is connected to the AM/FM tuner 8 via a data line 40, so that information about the received level is transmitted to the AM/FM receiver 8 for evaluation.

[0034] Alternatively or additionally, depending on the equipment fitted to the vehicle, it is also possible to investigate the serviceability of mobile telephone and/or GPS antennas via broadband coupling to TV, AM and FM antennas. In this case, it is irrelevant where and how the individual antennas 2 are integrated in the vehicle.

[0035] During operation of the circuit arrangement 1, as shown in one of the Figures 1 to 5, the total of n antennas 2 are successively connected as transmitting antennas. Depending on the number n of transmitting antennas 2, this results in a corresponding number m of receiving antennas 2, and thus in n x m level information items, which are preferably in the form of a level or transmission matrix 14, in order to represent the transmission behavior \ddot{U}_v . A permissible value range can be produced for each transmission coefficient \ddot{U}_{vi} in the transmission matrix 14, which:

1. is individually associated with the coupling of in each case one antenna pair 2(n, m), and
2. is dependent on all of the instantaneous measured transmission coefficients \ddot{U}_{vi} in the transmission matrix 14.

- 15 -

If a permissible value range is exceeded, one or more antennas 2 is or are determined to be defective on the basis of the transmission matrix 14.

[0036] External interference effects, which affect one or more antennas 2, for example ice on the rear windshield 6, are advantageously analyzed and identified in the diagnosis process by dynamically matching the value ranges to the instantaneous reception situation.

[0037] Table 1, below, shows one example of a transmission matrix 14 for an antenna system 4 with four antennas 2.

TX/RX	Ant1	Ant2	Ant3	Ant4	Ant m
Ant1	P11	P12	P13	P14	.
Ant2	P21	P22	P23	P24	.
Ant3	P31	P32	P33	P34	.
Ant4	P41	P42	P43	P44	.
Ant n	Pnm

Table 1

where Ant n = the number of transmitting antennas, Ant m = the number of receiving antennas, TX = a transmitter, RX = a receiver, Pnm = the signal level.

[0038] Depending on the nature and the function of the circuit arrangement 1, the transmission matrix 14 has, as information items, level and/or frequency values

- 16 -

which represent the reference transmission coefficients \ddot{U}_{vinorm} and/or instantaneous transmission coefficients \ddot{U}_{vi} for the relevant antenna combination. During a diagnosis, the instantaneous transmission coefficients \ddot{U}_{vi} are compared with the reference transmission coefficients \ddot{U}_{vinorm} for each of the antenna combinations 2(n, m). To do this, the transmission matrix 14 must be initialized, for example before initial use of the vehicle, ~~that is to say~~ such as at the time of production. Reference knowledge is generated for this purpose, on the basis of which a diagnosis can then take place. One possible method for knowledge generation and evaluation is described in the following text.

[0039]

A diagnosis is carried out in a number of steps:

I) symptom generation

(for example on the basis of the available information in the transmission matrix 14)

II) fault identification

III) fault localization

[0040] By way of example, Figure 6 shows a flowchart for the diagnosis ~~algorithm~~ method, comprising the following steps:

(1) Recording of the matrix elements, for example on the basis of measurements,

- 17 -

presetting type-specific values or reading stored previous values;

- (2) Calibration by normalization of the matrix elements with respect to the transmission power and transmission losses. The calibration is carried out on the basis of the element on the diagonal of the transmission matrix 14.
- (3) Identification of “invalid states”, such as an icy rear windshield or an electromagnetic field which is subject to severe interference.
- (4) Evaluation by comparison with stored fault situations or by means of a decision network. Alternatively or additionally, a fault in one or more of the antennas 2 or antenna combinations 2(n, m) can be identified on the basis of a frequency analysis or amplitude analysis.
- (5) Filtering, plausibility check, that is to say the diagnosis process is carried out n-times. Depending on the requirement, a fault message is emitted only after a fault situation has been successfully detected n-times, otherwise no message is produced or a “healthy” message is emitted, that is to say the fault memory is reset when the satisfactory state is identified two or more times.

[0041] The measurement and diagnosis method will be explained in the following text with a reference to an example. The transmission behavior between

- 18 -

different rear windshield antennas 2 in their near field is determined by means of a so-called network analyzer. The transmission behavior is measured by injecting the noise signal S into the antennas 2 successively. The vehicle roof, the C pillars and the rear cover with sheet steel parts electrically connected has been modeled in order to estimate the field behavior on the actual vehicle. Measurements have been carried out for intact antennas 2 as well as for defective antennas 2, for example for a discontinuity in the windowpane contacts and/or for a discontinuity in the antenna wires on the rear windshield 6. The influence of wetness on the transmission behavior has also been measured.

[0042] The transmission or noise signal S was injected directly into the antenna 2, with the antenna amplifier disconnected. The transmitting antenna 2 is thus not matched. If the transmitting antenna 2 is fed in a matched manner, the transmission factors are better. The values included in the following tables are the S21 transmission coefficients in dB, in each case measured at 100 MHz (FM). S21 transmission coefficients represent the transmission factor or transmission coefficients \ddot{U}_{vi} between the respective antennas 2 which are coupled via the near field. In addition to the normal situation in which the antennas 2 are serviceable, a number of types of fault situations, and their influence on the transmission factors, have been investigated. The fault situations were brought about by disconnecting the windowpane contacts, interrupting the windowpane antenna wires, influencing

the near field of the antennas 2 by means of water on the windowpane, and by means of metal surfaces located in the near field of the antennas 2.

[0043] For the normal situation without any fault influence on an antenna system 4 which comprises six antennas 2 and is integrated in the rear windshield 6, the transmission matrix illustrated in Table 2 is obtained for the frequency $f = 100$ MHz (FM band):

Table 2

dB	FM1/TV1	FM2/TV2	TV3	FM4/TV4	AM	FZV
FM1/TV1	X	-25.21	-22.37	-19.25	-22.17	-24.76
FM2/TV2		X	-9.195	-22.51	-8.053	-5.906
TV3			X		-14.56	-19.12
FM4/TV4				X	-23.38	-23.74
AM					X	-2.456
FZV						X

[0044] The instantaneous transmission coefficients \ddot{U}_{vi} determined by means of the transmission matrix 14 are all better than -25 dB and the required transmission powers to be expected for near field transmission are very low, measured with respect to the conventional far field transmission/reception situation.

[0045] In order to illustrate the detection of poor contacts with the antenna 2, the window pane contacts were made worse or were interrupted at the connections

- 20 -

to the antennas FM1 and TV3 by the insertion of layers of paper of different thickness. As is shown in Table 2, the antenna combination FM1 and TV3 normally has a transmission coefficient \ddot{U}_{vi} of -22.37 dB.

[0046] Table 3 shows the influence of poor contacts on the transmission behavior in the form of a significant change in the transmission coefficients \ddot{U}_{vi} determined in this instance by means of the transmission matrix 14.

Table 3

Fault situations	$S_{TV3 \rightarrow FM1}$ in dB for 100 MHz
Normal situation	-22.37
1 leaf on FM1	-25.26
1 leaf on TV3	-41.29
20 leaves on FM1	-41.03
20 leaves on TV3	-61.19
20 leaves on both FM1 and TV3	-67.41
Metal sheet in front of the windowpane	-19.42

[0047] The final fault situation “metal sheet in front of the windowpane” in this case simulates an invalid state, as would occur, for example, as a result of conductive material such as ice or water on the rear windshield 6.

[0048] Furthermore, a discontinuity in the antenna wires as modeled, for example by cutting through the conductor track for the antenna TV3 or cutting

- 21 -

through both conductor tracks for the antennas TV3 and FM2. In this case, the test or noise signal S is transmitted via the antenna FM2 or FM1, depending on the drive for the coupling or RF switch 20. The transmission coefficients \tilde{U} determined by means of the transmission matrix 14 are shown in the following Tables 4A to 4C.

Table 4A

FM2 → TV3 (dB)	100 MHz	800 MHz
Normal situation	-9.195	-32.10
Fault on antenna TV3	-8.620	-38.50
Fault on antenna TV3 and FM2	-13.53	-29.43

Table 4B

FM1 → FM2 (dB)	100 MHz	800 MHz
Normal situation	-25.21	-37.81
Fault on antenna FM2	-32.57	-27.03

Table 4C

FM2 → FM4 (dB)	100 MHz	800 MHz
Normal situation	-22.51	-33.23
Fault on antenna FM2	-32.07	-28.70

- 22 -

[0049] All the fault situations can clearly be identified from a decrease or increase in the transmission factors \ddot{U} . The method described above thus allows the serviceability of individual antennas 2 to be diagnosed particularly easily and reliably. Further transmission characteristics or operating parameters may be taken into account, depending on the type of antenna. For example, the rise in the so-called cross-coupling factor $S_{FM1 \rightarrow FM3}$ in the UHF band (800 MHz) in the event of a fault in the FM antenna can be explained by shortening of the electrically effective antenna length. In contrast, the same fault in the FM band leads to a corresponding reduction in the coupling.

[0050] In a further test of the antennas 2, they are analyzed for changes caused by the influence of water on the rear windshield 6, or by other objects in the vicinity of the rear windshield 6. As is shown in the Tables 5A and 5B, water spray has virtually no influence on the transmission behavior at 100 MHz. In contrast, if objects, in particular conductive objects, are arranged closely in front of the rear windshield 6, these changes are indicated in the diagnosis, since they represent a significant influence on the transmission behavior of individual antenna pairs.

Table 5A

FM2 \rightarrow FM4 (dB)	100 MHz	800 MHz
Normal situation	-22.51	-33.23
Metal sheet in front of the windowpane	-30.23	-29.20

- 23 -

Wet windowpane	-22.09	-26.91
----------------	--------	--------

Table 5B

FM2 → TV3 (dB)	100 MHz	800 MHz
Normal situation	-9.195	-28.50
Metal sheet in front of the windowpane	-9.159	-29.00
Thick plastic film on the windowpane	-8.330	-30.45

[0051] Figure 7 illustrates an alternative embodiment of the circuit arrangement 1. The circuit arrangement 1 is designed for a single antenna system 4. In this case, instead of evaluating the noise signal S which is transmitted from the transmitting antenna to the receiving antenna 2, a noise signal S₂ which has been reflected at the relevant antenna input 42 of the single antenna 2 is analyzed and assessed on the basis of the transmitted noise signal S₁. Since any damage to the antenna 2 adversely affects its matching, reflections are produced at its input 42. When the RF switch 20 is in the position 0, it does not allow any noise signal S to pass from the noise source 18 to the antenna path 22 during normal antenna operation. RF switch 20 is in the position 1 in the diagnosis mode. The noise signal S is then coupled via a coupling network 24, for example a T element, into the antenna path 22, where the noise signal S is split into the noise signal S₁, which is passed directly from the noise

- 24 -

source 18 to the receiver module 8, and the noise signal S_2 , which migrates to the antenna 2 and is reflected at the antenna 2.

[0052] The superimposition of the noise signals S_1 and S_2 , comprising the noise signal S_1 that is passed directly from the noise source 18 to the receiver 8, and the reflected noise signal S_2 , results in a characteristic frequency characteristic with notches, from which conclusions are drawn about the state of the antenna 2, and these are assessed. However, this is dependent on a calibrated noise source 18, whose frequency characteristic is known. The serviceability of the antennas 2 can be determined only by comparison of the frequency characteristic of the superimposition of the noise signals S_1 and S_2 with the frequency characteristic of the noise signal S_1 .

[0053] In order to allow the calibrated noise source 18 to be replaced by a lower-cost uncalibrated noise source 18, the static coupling circuit 24 has a switching function added to it, with additional positions 2 and 3 for the switchable coupling circuit 44, as is illustrated in Figure 8. In the switch position 2, the noise signal S_1 is passed directly to the receiver 8, where it is detected. This means that the antenna path 22 is open. The frequency characteristic of the instantaneous noise signal S_1 is then known and is stored for level evaluation. The position 3 is then selected by means of the switchable coupling circuit 44, so that the antenna path 22 is closed. The frequency characteristic of the superimposition of the noise signals S_1 and S_2 is now detected in the level evaluation on the basis of the transmission

- 25 -

matrix 14, and is compared with the frequency characteristic of the stored noise signal S1.

[0054] As an alternative to the switchable coupling circuit 44 or to the open switch, a superimposition of the noise signal S1 and of the noise signal S2 as reflected on a defined impedance Z can also be measured and analyzed for the reference measurement of the noise signal S1, with a calculation then being carried out back to the frequency characteristic of the pure noise signal S. The associated circuit arrangement 1 is illustrated, by way of example, in Figure 9.

[0055] The frequency characteristic of the illustrated embodiments in Figures 7 to 9 for single antenna systems 4 is detected and analyzed in a relatively wide frequency band, in order to ensure statements that are as good as possible about the serviceability of the antenna 2, since significant level changes do not necessarily occur in the area of the mid-frequency fm in the superimposed noise signal S1+S2 if the antenna 2 is damaged.

[0056] A directional coupling circuit 46, for example a directional coupler, as is illustrated in Figure 10, is preferably used in order to allow the serviceability of the antenna 2 to be deduced just from the level changes of the reflected signal S2 when a narrow frequency band f is analyzed. In this case, only the reflected signal S2 is detected, whose level is considerably lower than the noise signal S1 if the antenna 2 is functioning. The level evaluation is in this case dependent on the noise signal level S1 already being known. This embodiment requires a calibrated noise source 18.

- 26 -

[0057] In order to allow a low-cost uncalibrated noise source 18 to be used, a directional coupling network 48 with a switchable signal flow direction is used, as is illustrated in Figure 11. By way of example, a directional coupler with alternatively switchable inputs E1, E2 is provided for this purpose. In the switch position 1, the noise signal S is passed via the directional coupler 48 to the antenna 2, is reflected and is detected as a signal S2 in the level evaluation. In the switch position 2, the noise signal S is passed via the directional coupler 48 directly to the level evaluation, where it is detected as a reference signal S1.

[0058] Figures 12 to 14 now show modified forms of the arrangement shown in Figure 11, in which diagnosis is likewise possible using an uncalibrated, low-cost noise source 18. In this case, uncalibrated always means that the transmission power of the noise source is not known, and it need not assume reproducible values so that, for example, a major temperature drift in the transmission power is permissible. Only the design and function differences in comparison to Figure 11 will be explained in more detail for these embodiments in the following text.

[0059] The embodiment shown in Figure 12 uses a directional coupling network 50 with a switchable signal flow direction, in order to make it possible to use a low-cost uncalibrated noise source. A directional coupler with alternatively switchable inputs is likewise used in this case, as in the embodiment shown in Figure 11 and in the embodiment shown in Figure 12. However, in contrast to Figure 11, one input is terminated with a 50Ω impedance. In addition, the embodiment shown in Figure 12, in contrast to the embodiment shown in Figure 11,

- 27 -

has a modified filter amplifier circuit 26' with a switchable amplifier. Furthermore, a switch 49 is also provided and is used in conjunction with the switchable amplifier for switching from the signal path from the noise source 18 via the antenna 2 to the receiver 8 to the signal path from the noise source 18 directly to the receiver 8, and vice versa. In the switch position 2, the noise signal S from the noise source 18 is passed via the directional coupler 50 directly to the level evaluation, where it is detected as a reference signal S1, in order to make it possible to determine and calibrate out the noise level. For direct measurement of the noise signal S, the switchable amplifier 26' is switched off, that is to say it is switched to the switch position 4, in order to interrupt the signal path via the antenna 2 to the receiver 8. In addition, an attenuator DG can be inserted into the path, to provide any required level reduction. In the switch positions 3 and 5, the noise signal S is passed to the antenna 2, where it is reflected and is passed via the modified filter circuit 26' in the antenna module 10 to the receiver 8, in which it is detected in the level evaluation as a signal S2. The operation of the modified filter circuit 26' can thus also be checked, in addition to that of the antenna 2. When the RF switch 20 is in the switch position 0, the noise source 18 is switched off, for normal operation.

[0060] Figure 13 shows a modified form of the embodiment shown in Figure 12, which can be used when it is not possible to use the modified filter circuit 26' with a switchable amplifier, but only the filter circuit shown in Figure 11. In this case, an additional switch 51 with switch positions 4' and 5' is provided, by means of which the switch positions 4 and 5, which are provided in the switchable amplifier in the

- 28 -

modified filter circuit 26' in Figure 12, are replaced. The use of this additional switch allows the same function to be achieved as that described in conjunction with Figure 12.

[0061] As a result of the use of this additional switch 51, it is now also possible to dispense with the RF switch 20. The resulting circuit ~~which then results~~ is illustrated in Figure 14. In this embodiment, the RF switch 20 is no longer required to switch off the noise source 18, since it can now be switched off by a combination of the switch positions 3 and 4.

[0062] The advantages which are achieved by the invention are, in particular, that it is possible to use a noise generator 18 which can be integrated in the antenna module 10 as a transmitter. The tuner or transceiver, which has being switched to a diagnosis mode, can be used as the receiver 8. This results in a particularly low-cost transmitter. Since the receiver 8 already exists, software can be added to it for the diagnosis function.

[0063] As a further alternative embodiment of the invention, an additional antenna can be provided which, in contrast to the antenna 2, is not connected to the receiver module 8. The noise signal S is now injected into this additional antenna from the noise generator 18. The additional antenna then transmits this noise signal to the antenna or antennas 2. The respective received signal S' or S2 which results from this is received and evaluated by the test module 12 in the receiver module 8.

- 29 -

[0064] As described above, the present invention discloses the use of a very simple low-cost test signal source for antenna diagnosis. This is achieved ~~in particular~~ by using an economically advantageous low-price noise signal source whose power need not be known. The noise source is suitable for testing antennas in a number of frequency bands, for example AM, FM, TV, owing to its wide signal spectrum. The sequential use of a different antenna in each case as the transmitting antenna makes it possible to produce a transmission matrix which represents the near-field coupling between different antenna combinations. The signal power of the noise source or test signal source can be calibrated out by means of this transmission matrix. ~~It~~ Accordingly, it is thus possible to use a simple, low-cost test signal source whose level, in contrast to all previous approaches, need not be known and need not be reproducible. The transmission matrix is additionally used to calculate out external influences which affect all or two or more of the antennas, such as an ice, snow or fallen-leaf coating on them all, as well as external interference signals. A directional coupler in a calibration circuit is used for an arrangement for single antenna systems. In this case, the reception level is measured for each of two or more switch positions in the arrangement at the tuner. The power of the low-price signal source can be determined and calibrated out from different level values. This calibration circuit may, of course, also be used for two or more antennas.

[0065] In summary, the present invention discloses a method for testing at least one antenna 2 having a receiver module 8 and a coupling module 16 which is

- 30 -

arranged between the antenna 2 and the receiver module 8. In this case, the antenna 2 and the receiver module 8 are supplied with a noise signal S as a test signal, by means of the coupling module 16. An instantaneous transmission coefficient is then determined by means of a test module 12 on the basis of a superimposition of the noise signal S, S1 with a received signal S', S2 which results from the noise signal, S, S1, and is compared with a reference transmission coefficient which is stored in a transmission matrix. Furthermore, an arrangement is likewise disclosed for carrying out the method according to the invention.

[0066] The foregoing disclosure has been set forth merely to illustrate the invention and is not intended to be limiting. Since modifications of the disclosed embodiments incorporating the spirit and substance of the invention may occur to persons skilled in the art, the invention should be construed to include everything within the scope of the appended claims and equivalents thereof.

Abstract

~~The present invention discloses a~~ A method for testing at least one antenna (2) having a receiver module (8) and a coupling module (16) which is arranged between the antenna (2) and the receiver module (8). ~~In this case, the~~ The antenna (2) and the receiver module (8) are supplied with a noise signal (S) as a test signal by ~~means of~~ the coupling module (10). An instantaneous transmission coefficient (\ddot{U}_v), which indicates the ratio between a first noise signal (which is passed to the test module (12) via a first path (S, S₁) without passing through the at least one antenna (2)) and a second noise signal (which is passed to the test module (12) from the noise source (18) via a second path (S', S₂) which passes via the at least one antenna (2)) being determined, and being compared with a reference transmission coefficient ($\ddot{U}_{v\text{norm}}$), which is stored in a transmission matrix (14), by ~~means of~~ a test module (12). An arrangement for carrying out the method ~~according to the invention~~ is also provided ~~disclosed~~.